From: drupal\_admin <drupal\_admin@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:20 AM

To: HarborComments
Subject: Harbor Comments

Submitted on 09/06/2016 12:19PM Submitted values are:

Your Name: (b) (6)

Your Email (b) (6)

**Your Comments:** 

I live near the river in the St. John's neighborhood.

Pollutants such as PCBs, DDT, and oil-based contaminants need to be removed from the river! The general goals and design characteristics/requirements of the fish tissue monitoring need to be specifically listed in the R.O.D.

Habitat restoration following remedy construction needs to be a required element in the R.O.D. Aquatic habitat that is disturbed by the remedy must be restored and the full cost paid by the PRPs. When nearshore and intertidal habitat has to be removed, it must be replaced and replanted with SAV that thrives. The US EPA should lead the cleanup effort after the ROD, not the State of Oregon. Sediment should be removed rather than implementing a massive input of carbon as a treatment.